Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/717,015	HUEBNER, RANDALL J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anu Ramana	3775	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Stan Hollenberg.	(3)		
(2) Anu Ramana.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 26 February 2009.			
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>52</u> , <u>54</u> , <u>62</u> , <u>64</u> , <u>and 72</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: None.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☑ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Minor changes to the claims were discussed and agreed upon to place the instant application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner's Amendment for detail.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW, (See MFEP Section 713.04). If a rely to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALIN DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM. WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview equipments or reverse add or on attached sheet.			